

## STUDIES IN THE ANACARDIACEAE. II<sup>1</sup>

FRED ALEXANDER BARKLEY

*Instructor in Botany, University of Montana*

*Formerly University Fellow and Assistant in Botany, Henry Shaw School of Botany  
and University College of Washington University*

*Formerly R. M. Balyeat Fellow in Allergy, University of Oklahoma and  
Washington University*

Through the kindness of Dr. E. D. Merrill my attention has been called to the fact that the combination *Ailanthus peregrina* Barkl. as given in "Studies in the Anacardiaceae. I" is untenable, since it is antedated by *A. altissima* (Miller) Swingle. The following combination and synonymy should therefore be substituted for that on page 264 of the present volume of the ANNALS.

***Ailanthus altissima*** (Miller) Swingle, Jour. Wash. Acad. Sci. 6: 495. 1916.

*Ailanthus glandulosa* Desf., Mem. Acad. Sci. Paris for 1786. p. 265, pl. 8. 1788.

*Ailanthus procera* Salisb. Prodr., p. 171. 1796.

*Ailanthus Cacodendron* Schinz & Thell., Mem. Soc. Sci. Nat. Cherbourg 38: 679. 1912.

*Ailanthus peregrina* Barkl., Ann. Mo. Bot. Gard. 24: 264. 1937.

*Albonia Peregrina* Buc'hoz, Herb. Color. Amér., pl. 57. 1783.

*Pongelion glandulosum* Pierre, Fl. Cochinchin. 4: pl. 294. 1893.

*Rhus Sinense* Houttuyn, Natuur. Hist. II. 2: 212. 1774.

*Rhus Cacodendron* Ehrhart, Hannov. Mag. 21: 225-226. 1783.

*Rhus Succedaneum* Buc'hoz, Herb. Color. Amér., pl. 57. 1783.

*Rhus peregrina* Stapf, Index Lond. 1: 113. 1929.

*Toxicodendron Altissimum* Miller, Gard. Dict., ed. 8. 1768, excl. synonymy.

<sup>1</sup> Issued September 27, 1937.



Also, further study has shown that in accordance with the International Rules of Botanical Nomenclature the plant recently published as *Pseudosmodingium Pterocarpus* should bear the name:

***Pseudosmodingium rhoifolium*** (DC.) Barkl., n. comb.

*Pseudosmodingium Pterocarpus* (Sessé & Moc.) Barkl.,  
Ann. Mo. Bot. Gard. **24**: 263. 1937.

*Rhus pterocarpa* DC., Prodr. **2**: 84. 1825, in syn.

*Rhus Pterocarpus* Sessé & Moc., Pl. Nov. Hisp., p. 47. 1888.

*Spathelia* (?) *rhoifolia* DC., Prodr. **2**: 84. 1825; DC., in  
A. DC., Calq. Dess. Fl. Mex. Moc. & Sessé, p. 3, pl. 190.  
1874.

Apparently this species was originally based on the collection of Sessé and Mociño, No. 4938, a portion of which, now deposited in the Field Museum of Natural History, sheet no. 847794, has been examined.